

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,404	07/17/2003	Avinash Malhotra	02-0707/KEL106	1403
32583	7590 02/16/2006		EXAMINER	
KELLOGG BROWN & ROOT, INC.			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
HOUSTON,	ON AVENUE IX 77002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , ,			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			X
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/604,404	MALHOTRA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wayne Langel	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	anuary 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	red in this National Sta	ige
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar		
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-18-03</u> .	6) Other:	, ,	

Application/Control Number: 10/604,404

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grotz, Jr. in view of JP 6-159931, for the reasons given in the last Office Action. Applicants' argument, that applicants' claimed liquid expansion with work output has the rather astonishing result that less pressure drop is required for autorefrigeration in the nitrogen removal operation and as a consequence the power required for makeup compression to the ammonia synthesis loop is substantially lower than in the Grotz, Jr. configuration, is not convincing, since the means for throttling the bottoms liquid from rectifier column 282 to a reduced pressure in the apparatus of Grotz, Jr. would be capable of performing work to no less extent than would the "means for expanding a liquid bottoms sream from the distillation column through a liquid expander to form a cooled waste fluid stream" as recited in claim 18.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. See the reasons given in the last Office Action. The phrase "improvement in the" should be deleted in line 1 of claims 15-17 to avoid this rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,404

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 1-14 are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wayne Langel
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754